

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MAleaper No. 9

JOHN P. O'BANION O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP 400 CAPITOL MALL SUITE 1550

SACRAMENTO CA 95814

In re Application of: Gee-Kung-CHANG, et al. Application No. 09/772,502 Fîlêd: January 30, 2001

For: OPTICAL LAYER MULTICASTING USING A SINGLE SUB-CARRIER HEADER AND A MULTICAST SWITCH WITH ACTIVE **HEADER INSERTION** 

NOV 1 7 2004

DIRECTOR OFFICE **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600** 

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a response to the request for withdrawal of the notice of abandonment, filed October 18, 2004. The petition is being treated under 37 CFR 1.8(b) to withdraw the holding of abandonment.

The petition is granted.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely file a response to the Office action mailed October 6, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period of three (3) months to reply. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 19, 2004.

Petitioner alleges to have timely filed a response to the Office action on January 6, 2004. To support this position, Petitioner has included with the instant petition a copy of the response bearing a proper certificate of facsimile transmission with a transmission date of January 6, 2004. Also included with the petition is a copy of an Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission indicating that a 28 page document from Petitioner was received in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January 6, 2004.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(b) states that in the event that correspondence is considered timely filed by being mailed or transmitted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, but not received in the Patent and Trademark Office, and the application is held to be abandoned or the proceeding dismissed, terminated, or decided with prejudice, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:

1) Informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence,

(2) Supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence

and certificate, and

(3) Includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Commissioner to the previous timely mailing or transmission. If the correspondence was sent by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement.

Petitioner has met the requirements above. Accordingly, the Notice of Abandonment is vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

The application will be forwarded to the Technology Center's technical support staff for entry of the response. From there, the file will be forwarded to the examiner for consideration in due coerse.

Kenneth A. Wieder

Special Program Examiner Technology Center 2600

Communications